

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Sidies Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy,1450 Aloxandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,410	10/040,410 01/09/2002		Yung-Hsin Chen	CHEN3324/EM	2295	
23364	7590	02/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		AS, PLLC	SALL, EL HA	SALL, EL HADJI MALICK		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN		22314	2157			
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action . Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/040,410	CHEN, YUNG-HSIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
El Hadji M. Sall	2157		

	El Hadji M. Sall	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		/
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, lack They raise new issues that would require further could be after a final rejection, lack They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. Son attached Notice of Non Co	mnliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 8-10.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-7</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended claims contain limitations such as "wherein the workstation serves as the Web manager, and the manager database module acts to store status changes of the network devices in the domain and relevant query results and establish a domain structure diagram and a status diagram using information contained in the manager database module." that were not filed in the original claims and would therefore require additional search and consideration by Examiner. . .

ARIO ETIENNE

DRIMARY EXAMINER